1	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES
2	Wednesday, November 8th, 2023, 6:00 pm
3	Providence City Office Building, 164 North Gateway Dr., Providence Ut
4	
5	To view the video recording of the meeting please visit the city's YouTube channel found <u>HERE</u> .
6	
7	HR. MIN. SEC. in green are timestamps of the YouTube recording. *Issues with recording. Recording starts
8	with overview of item 3.
9	
10	Call to Order: Michael Fortune
11	Chair Roll Call of Commission Members: Shelly Nazer, Robert Henke, Brian Marble, Michael Fortune, Bob
12	Washburn & Joe Chambers
13	Members Absent:
14	Staff in Attendance: Ryan Snow (City Manager), Skarlet Bankhead (Community Development Director) & Ty
15	Cameron (City Recorder).
16	Pledge of Allegiance: Shelly Nazer
17	
18	
19	Approval of Minutes: The Planning Commission will consider approval of the minutes for October 25th, 2023.
20	(MINUTES)
21	
22	• Michael Fortune called for the approval of the minutes.
23	• Bob Washburn made the following corrections: Line 219 the word 'her' should be 'here'. On line 72
24	replace the word 'will' with 'may'. On line 105 to include 'if they desire'. On line 133 should include
25	the words 'may' and 'accessible'. On line 182 'their' should be 'they're' and on line 192 'Biran' should
26	be 'Brian'.
27	• Michael Fortune indicated that he would abstain from voting as he was not present for the last meeting.
28	
29	Motion to approve the minutes of October 25 th with the stated corrections – Joe Chambers. 2 nd – Bob
30	Washburn.
31	Vote:
32	Yea – Shelly Nazer, Brian Marble, Bob Washburn, and Joe Chambers.
33	Nay-
34	Abstained- Michael Fortune.
35	Absent-
36	
37	Motion passes, minutes approved.
38	
39	Public Comments: Citizens may express their views on issues within the Planning Commission's jurisdiction.
40	The Commission accepts comments: in-person, by email providencecityutah@gmail.com, and
41	by text 435-752-9441. By law, email comments are considered public record and will be shared
42	with all parties involved, including the Planning Commission and the applicant.
43	

1

4	• Michael Fortune opened the floor for public comment.
5	• No comments were made. Staff reported that no comments had come in via text or email.
6	• Michael Fortune closed the public comment section of the meeting.
7	
8	
.9	Public Hearings/Presentations:
0	
1 2	<u>Item No. 1</u> <u>Subdivision Amendments:</u> The Providence City Planning Commission will take comments and questions regarding proposed revisions to the City's Subdivision Codes.
3	
4 5	 The Planning Commission motioned to open the floor to public comments and questions regarding the proposed amendments to the city's subdivision code.
6	 No comments or questions were made.
5 7	
	 Staff reported that no comments had come in via text or email. The Planning Commission motioned to close the public hearing portion of the amendment
3	 The Framming Commission motioned to close the public hearing portion of the amendment proposals.
))	proposais.
	Motion to call item 3 before the Planning Commission discusses the amendments to the subdivision codes
2	as the applicants are present and ready to go. – Joe Chambers. 2^{nd} – Shelly Nazer.
3	Vote:
Ļ	Yea – Shelly Nazer, Brian Marble, Michael Fortune, Bob Washburn, and Joe Chambers.
;	Nay-
5	Abstained-
7	Absent-
3	
)	Motion passes. Planning Commission called item 3.
)	
	<u>Legislative – Action Item(s):</u>
1	25 MIN. 45 SEC.
	<u>Item No. 2</u> <u>Subdivision Amendments:</u> The Providence City Planning Commission will review, discuss, and may make a recommendation to the City Council regarding proposed revisions to the City's
7	Subdivision Code. (CODE REVIEW)
)	• Michael Fortune called item 2, gave a brief introduction, and indicated that a resident had a
)	comment that she would like heard.
	• Mary Hubbard asked if the Planning Commission could make clear some of the major changes
	that are being proposed as there is a lot to this amendment.
	• Skarlet Bankhead indicated that a lot of these changes were coming from the State and
	commented that one of the major changes was that concept plans were no longer being required.
	Reported that a lot of the review process was changing. Commented that the City Council would
;	not have part of the process, which is what the city has been implementing for some time.
	Reported that final plats would be reviewed and approved by city staff now. She commented on
	the importance of having the zoning code read how they want it to read.
6 7	
8	the importance of having the zoning code read how they want it to read.

89	 Mrs. Bankhead reviewed the layout of the amendment and indicated that she tried to make it
90	more user friendly.
91	• Parties discussed the public hearing process and the administrative process. Mrs. Bankhead
92	indicated that for example development agreements are now seen as a land use item and would
93	now be a part of the administrative process.
94	• Mrs. Bankhead commented that these changes from the state have a ripple affect which is why
95	they are seeing a few areas of the city code being changed. The parties discussed the codification
96	process and whether a Planning Commission member could sit on the ALUA board.
97	• Parties discussed the final plat process and new shortened timelines. Parties discussed the cities
98	current projects and effective date of this new ordinance.
99	• The Planning Commission discussed how best to review and comment on these amendments.
100	Agreed to go page by page and motion at the end for any corrections.
101	• Parties discussed road connections and road standards. Parties discussed right of way regulations
102	and the section in the code that exempts a few streets in town and suggest that it be stricken. Mrs.
103	Bankhead commented on the roads downtown and them not being required for a curb and gutter.
104	• Bob Washburn commented on adding something in the code regarding T-intersection roads that
105	are on hills and finding a way to make them safer. Parties discussed issue and options. Mrs.
106	Bankhead advised that they must be careful with the wording and that it may be better addressed
107	in the Providence City Corporation Department of Public Works Standards and Specifications
108	Manual.
109	• Parties discussed the amendments regarding road lighting and spacing of streetlights.
110	• Parties discussed development agreements and the application process. Parties discussed what
111	happens if developers fail to complete their projects in a timely manner.
112	• The Planning Commission commented on the essential minimum improvement requirements.
113	Parties discussed the warranty period and extensions. Parties discussed suspect soil and
114	compaction issues and requirements.
115	• Parties discussed the concept plan changes and the effects that might have.
116	• The Planning Commission reviewed their suggested changes which includes striking section 11-
117	4-3(6). In section 11-5-3(C) strike 'Etc.,' and replace it with 'or similar act beyond developers
118	control (Force Majeure). Replace Land Use Authority as referenced with Administrative Land
119	Use.
120	• Parties agree that those are good changes to have and that in the future they can make other
121	amendments based on some of the issues they brought up tonight.
122	
123	Motion to recommend that the city council adopt these subdivision code amendments with the
124	following additional changes: striking section 11-4-3(6). In section 11-5-3(C) strike 'Etc.,' and
125	replace it with 'or similar act beyond developers control (Force Majeure). Replace Land Use
126	Authority as referenced in the amendments with Administrative Land Use Authority. – Bob
127	Washburn. 2 nd - Shelly Nazer.
128	Vote:
129	Yea- Shelly Nazer, Robert Henke, Biran Marble, Bob Washburn & Joe Chambers.
130	Nay-
131	Abstained-
132	Absent- Michael Fortune (Left part way through the discussion)
133	
134	Motion Passes, amendments will be presented to the City Council with the suggested changes.

135 Administrative Action Item(s): 136 137 138

Recording starts partway through Skarlet Bankhead's overview of this application.

Item No. 3 Copper Heights Preliminary Plat: The Planning Commission will review, discuss, \geq and may take action on a preliminary plat for Copper Heights. (ANALYSIS)

- Michael Fortune called item 3, gave a brief introduction and asked Skarlet Bankhead to give an overview of the application.
- Mrs. Bankhead indicated that in reviewing this application staff looked at state code and city code that relate to subdivision as well as the city's general plan master plans, water rights, wastewater, storm water, and culinary water plans. She commented on park access, trails, and roadways in regard to this subdivision. She noted that The Framework Map does not include this area. The Rezoning of Existing Districts & Annexed Areas recommended this area as Mixed Use (MXD). However, on 05/17/2023, the Providence City Council annexed Parcel 02-117-0022 and designated the zone as R-1-12. She states the developer is required to extend main lines and install services for the culinary water system during the construction phase.
 - Mrs. Bankhead referenced the conclusion of law in the staff report and that the developers needed to correct the subdivision name in the Owner's Permission block, make sure the legal description is certified by a licensed land surveyor and address the location of all wells, proposed, active and abandoned, springs, and all reservoirs within the tract and to a distance of at least one hundred feet (100') beyond the development boundaries. She also noted that the developers need to show that 700 south will be a partial street and show street cross section, they will also need to add a note that the area final grading of the detention pond cannot be changed, and that the owner of Lot 19 will be responsible for maintenance.
 - Mrs. Bankhead concluded by referencing the conditions the developers needed to meet which included addressing the comments in red in the conclusions section and on the preliminary plat. Address the comments in Aaron Walker's letter dated 10/16/2023. Address the comments from the planning commission and other staff members and that the applicant will continue to meet all relevant federal, state, county, and Providence City rules, laws, codes, ordinances.
 - Parties discussed the retention pond. Mrs. Bankhead commented that the developers may dedicate ponds to the city, but developers typically keep them within a lot to provide the necessary square footage. This creates an easement, allowing the owner to build a buildable envelope on their property. However, the entire yard may not be used, but it still qualifies the lot as a standard lot. Maintenance for stormwater ponds is typically an easement on a private lot, with the maintenance being up to the owner. Developers maintain records of the maintenance, ensuring that potential buyers have a clear understanding of their requirements.
 - Parties discussed park access for this Subdivision. •
 - Dallas Nickle and Josh Marble introduce themselves as the applicants for this project. They discussed the property's design, including the irrigation ditch at the north end. They were curious about the possibility of water running down the ditch, which is a major design element. They need to consult with the irrigation company to determine if the ditch is still in use or abandoned. If it is, they will need to pipe and daylight it. The ditch runs along the East line and turns and comes down. The lot sizes are approved at 12,000 square feet, with roadways being the 66 standard city roads. The pond on the lot is placed on a building lot instead of its own parcel to

139

140 141 142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181	avoid having an HOA for maintenance. The pond will be part of the design and will be part of
182	the overall design.
183	• Parties discussed CC&R requirements and HOAs. Parties discussed how the development would
184	be landscaped and if xeriscape would be implemented.
185	• Parties discussed the housing market and affordable housing options. Developers indicated that
186	the homeowners will have some options when it comes to constructing the home.
187	• Parties comment on parks and park options for this development. Developers indicated that parks
188	constructed by the developers are more typical in areas with mixed use housing with townhomes
189	and apartments.
190	• Parties discussed power or utility options and possible future developments to include more
191	access.
192	• The Planning Commission expressed that it looked like a good subdivision and that they didn't
193	see any issues with it.
194	
195	Motion to approve the Copper Heights Preliminary Plat subject to the findings of fact, conclusions
196	of law and conditions as found in the staff report. – Brian Marble. 2 nd – Bob Washburn.
197	Vote:
198	Yea- Shelly Nazer, Brian Marble, Michael Fortune, Bob Washburn & Joe Chambers.
199	Nay-
200	Abstained-
201	Absent-
202	
203	Motion passes, preliminary plat approved.
204	
205	
206	Motion to adjourn meeting - Joe Chambers 2 nd - Robert Henke
207	Vote:
208	Yea- Shelly Nazer, Robert Henke, Brian Marble, Bob Washburn & Joe Chambers
209	Nay-
210	Abstained-
211	Absent- Michael Fortune.
212	
213	Motion passes, meeting adjourned.
214	
215	
216	Minutes approved by Planning Commission on 24th day of January 2024
217	

TA

Michael Fortune, Chair

Ty Cameron, City Recorder

218